

REFINITIV STREETEVENTS

# EDITED TRANSCRIPT

SITM.OQ - SiTime Corp at Morgan Stanley Technology, Media & Telecom Conference

EVENT DATE/TIME: MARCH 05, 2025 / 12:50AM GMT

## CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS

**Rajesh Vashist** *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

**Elizabeth Howe** *SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President*

## CONFERENCE CALL PARTICIPANTS

**Marco Lagos** *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

## PRESENTATION

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Okay. Thank you, everybody, for being here. My name is Marco Lagos. I run Morgan Stanley's semiconductor investment banking business here in the United States. And I'll be moderating today's discussion with Rajesh Vashist and Beth Howe of SiTime.

Before we begin, let me read the following introductory remarks here. The company has asked me to remind you that today's discussion includes forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, which are further described in SiTime's SEC filings, including SiTime's most recent Form 10-K and that actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied. SiTime assumes no obligation and does not intend to update such forward-looking statements. For more information, please visit SiTime's Investor Relations web page at [investor.sitime.com](http://investor.sitime.com).

So with that out of the way, again, it's my pleasure to introduce you, folks to the CEO and CFO of SiTime here. Rajesh, thank you for being here. Beth, thank you as well. Why don't we start sort of high level. SiTime has just crossed the five-year mark as a public company in November of this year. Side note, the company went public at \$13 a share. As of yesterday, it closed at \$155 a share. So, it's been a nice run. Congratulations on all that success.

---

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

But what -- why don't you talk us through the journey of sort of that timeline, just the last five years. And I think everybody here should know also Rajesh has been with the company since 2007 through a lot of the journey. But what's life been as a public company? What have you accomplished? What does that journey look like over that period?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Well, that's quite a mouthful. Thank you for coming in and listening to us. Just a small bio note, I've been in the Valley since '84. I was first-time CEO in '99, landed up taking that company public in 2005, Ikanos Communications and then landed here, as you said, in 2007. So, one of the things that I have always believed in starting with the IPO and even further back is that timing is critical to every electronics. Timing is a heartbeat of electronics, timing is necessary.

And amazingly, no one company has dedicated themselves to solving timing -- hard timing problems across the board. There have been companies that have done clocks, some resonators, some have done IP, some have done oscillators, but no one has ever said, committed and said we're going to solve tough timing problems across the board. This is the fundamental difference between SiTime and most other companies. In 2007, it was a \$5 billion market. And now it's about a \$10 billion and growing market.

We have taken our SAM up to \$3 billion. We were \$200 million-odd last year and we are committing to a growth of 25% to 30% for this year and something similar next year. So, I think that puts us in a unique place because when we went public, there was very little ADAS, very little automated driving.

So, we didn't have an automotive business. At that time, we did not have an AI business. At that time, we didn't have an Apple modem business. Now we do. It's just been declared in the latest 16e phone that SiTime has a couple of chips in there.

So, the thesis is that timing is ubiquitous. Timing gets tougher as rates -- data rates increase, latencies decrease, the environment gets more and more challenging, size, power and SiTime is the company that wants to fulfill that.

So that's been the thesis that's taken us up all the way to where we are. So, we want to -- we have only one song to sing. It's timing, differentiated timing, and that's what we do. We sing that song.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Terrific. So -- and Beth, this could be for you, but what does the business composition look like today? You mentioned a lot of different end markets. What is sort of the mix today? Where do you see -- how will that evolve over time just generally?

---

**Elizabeth Howe** - SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President

So, we have a very balanced mix of our businesses and that's part of our strength is that diversification of the applications in our portfolio. We have 300 to 400 different applications across three major customer segments. The first one is our Communications, Enterprise and Data Center application area, and that was about 34% of our business in 2024. The Consumer Mobile IoT, 33% of our business and then our Auto, Aero, Industrial segment, which is another third of the business. So again, a diversified portfolio of applications.

And as Rajesh said, some of those are applications that have been with us for a long time. Others of them are newer applications. Some of them are very fast-growing applications. Others of them may be growing more at market rates. But that diversification everything needs timing and that's what we want to do is differentiated timing, the innovative applications within those different segments.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Got it. So, we talked about differentiation, specialization and timing, obviously, in and of itself is a differentiation. But what is SiTime's differentiated core competence, if you had to sort of synthesize that for the folks here or what are they if there are multiple ones?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer

Right. So, for the last 70 years that timing has been needed in semiconductors or electronics, it's made of quartz crystal. So, quartz is a non-semiconductor material. The worlds run quite well on quartz. So, our thesis was that the quartz had done great, but it needed to be disrupted through semiconductor technology.

So, our semiconductor technology, which is MEMS technology, which stands for micro-electronic mechanical systems. This MEMS technology is based -- is built in a fab. It's using semiconductor equipment. It uses semiconductor materials and uses our proprietary processes. So, we generated all of that.

And with that, we combined it with the analog IP that we have built. So, we built our own PLLs. We built our own regulators. We built our own products. And then the third piece of IP is putting them together to make a subsystem.

Sometimes the subsystem is as little as one MEMS chip and one analog chip together. And in our most sophisticated one part, which is called Epoch product, it is three MEMS devices and two unique analog circuits, mixed signal actually circuits for a very unique package that we produce. So, this is part of our technology. We've spent hundreds of millions literally R&D. We are on a fifth generation, sixth generation on MEMS, and we are probably on a fifth and sixth generation on everything else as well. So, we're doing something that hasn't been done before.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

That is right. Okay. I mean you talked about R&D and the massive investment you've done. Innovation here has been pretty remarkable. It's probably lost in the fact that it's timing.

Timing has evolved and gotten harder, as you said. Since second half, I think, of 2023, you've introduced 10 new platforms, 40 new products, which is remarkable. What sort of allows you to innovate and change and develop all these products over time so quickly and at such a pace?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer

Well, part of it is the definition of the product as a platform. So, when we say 10 and 40, the way to rationalize it, these are 10 individual products, which are a combination, as I said, of the analog and the MEMS, analog and the resonator MEMS. But they can -- there are many derivative products, up to 40, maybe even up to 100 that come out of these. So, we start with an architecture, which is already set for some form of customization for the customer. It's programmable, like think of it like an FPGA technology.

It's programmable for a customer. So, we are able to deliver that from the start and that's how we think of coming into it. And we are also very deeply connected to the leading providers in, for example, watches, leading providers in military fuse grade technology, leading providers of ADAS, leading providers of low earth orbit satellites, leading providers of AI, and we collaborate with them to develop these exceptional products, because they need some problems solved and nobody else is solving it for them.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Got it. So, we talked about diversity of end markets. That's a lot of different go-to-markets to manage. So, how -- at your scale, in particular, it's remarkable that you've been able to do that so effectively. What -- how have you managed through that? And kind of how do you -- your sales force, your R&D teams, how do you sort of get them focused on the right things across so many priorities?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer

Right. So, we -- the -- many people have certain favorite end markets. They like networking, telecommunications, AI or ADAS or whatever. Our goal is it should be a market, which is a minimum \$50 million. So, the scale of the market should be for a product should be no less than \$50 million.

Our second requirement is that we should bring significant value to it as measured by the premium we charge over other products that could be used. Now this is normally not what people do. They don't lead with price, but we lead with price to differentiate ourselves from other technologies and other solutions because if the customer is willing to pay a higher price, that means we're bringing enough value to it. And so that's a particular requirement.

And then the third is we believe that a business of this scale, when I look at analog companies like TI and Analog Devices, I see that their gross margins are 65%, 70%. Their net margins are 30%-plus, 40%, maybe even 40%. And I think that's a great way to build a business, and we're committed to something not at that scale, but close enough. Our goal is to make it a very profitable business with high growth and high differentiation and predictability.

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Got it. So related to that, right, there's obviously a -- you mentioned sort of working with and access with the customers. Talk a little bit about sort of how you're embedded with them in developing products and thinking about their road maps. How early in sort of their planning cycle do you get involved? What kind of visibility for Beth, what kind of visibility do you have as you think about sort of planning, both from the cost and the sort of revenue side of things?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer

Right. So, this is very much a design-in business. In other words, it's the exact opposite of a commodity business. It's a design-in business. Sometimes we are involved as little as nine months away. Most of the time, we're involved 1.5 years, 18 months away. And in the case of some long-term projects, it might be as much as four, five years that the development happens.

So, we tend to have -- when we look at our business, we tend to have a high degree of ability to forecast the future. So particularly when we come into quarters, I know that Beth can comment on that visibility as well. But particularly when we come into the quarters, we are in great shape. And the fact that as early as last year, we guided for this year from 25% to 30% growth. That means it's those design wins that we have in our funnel that drive all that. I don't know if you wanted to add something to it.

---

**Elizabeth Howe** - SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President

No, I think that's absolutely right as it is a design win business. And so as we look at that the coming quarter, but even the coming quarters and we've improved that connectivity with the customer, getting more into -- embedded with the business, embedded with the engineers to understand how our products are progressing and therefore, getting that visibility of our design funnel, our design wins and then the move to mass production, again, not perfect, but we've got a lot of visibility.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Yeah. And when it comes to sort of the -- we talked about the customer side and visibility with them from the supply chain on the other side, right? So, people that supply you folks, inventory building, and we've talked a lot about sort of supply chain constraints and issues over the last few years. How are you -- how have you managed through that? What do you -- how do you feel you've performed relative to sort of managing your inventory, supply chain and sort of that side of the crunch, if you will?

---

**Elizabeth Howe** - SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President

So, if you think about our supply chain, so we get our MEMS technology from Bosch in Germany and then TSMC is our analog technology. And as we manage that, we want to make sure that we are always able to deliver to our customers. So, we think about the lead times that go with that and ensuring that we can assure supply for these major customers of ours.

So, we want to make sure that we have significant inventory to be able to respond if they have upside, if they ask for more products, et cetera. And yet -- and because our products are programmable and because much of it is in wafer form, we are able to then -- they're longlived. So, we don't have the perishability that you might with some other products. Because they're programmable, we can leave -- in essence, build the products and then program them for a specific customer as those orders come in, which gives us more flexibility as well.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Terrific. Okay. All right. Well, I mean, we touched a little bit on sort of the business mix by end market. So, let's dive into specifics.

I want to talk a little bit about the CED business in particular because it's exciting. But I don't know if you heard this, and I'm going to make this joke probably for the third time since moderating, but AI is kind of a big deal. So, what is it about that opportunity that you, from a timing standpoint, are probably most excited about? How do you think about strategically your future within AI, what you enable within that?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Yeah. So you mentioned CED, that is the three-letter acronym for Communications, Enterprise and Data Center. So, while we're going to talk about AI and data centers, we should also make a plug for our communications business, which is the standard Ericsson, Nokia carrier business, which, believe it or not, is coming back and is doing fine. Our enterprise business, which is the classic Juniper, Arista, Cisco business, to those kinds of guys, that's also doing reasonably well. But as you point out, the data center business is on fire and has been for the last 1.5 years to 2.

We are in every aspect of it, right? We are in the processing part, the GPU, the CPU, we are in the switches, we are in the networks, we are in the acceleration card. And particularly, we are in all the interconnects. We are in all the optical modules. We are all meaning, we're in the optical modules.

We are in the smart cabling. We are in the AEC. So generally speaking, SiTime has significant dollars in the racks, whether it's top of the rack, whether it's middle of the rack, whether it's the switches and so on. So, we see this as a great opportunity. We see ourselves growing rapidly in this.

I know there's been a lot of concern around the not expensive way of coming into this. We think that as far as the connectivity and the timing piece, I think that's mostly doesn't care about what kind of models we are talking about. It just is whether it's the old traditional model, old, 1.5 years old traditional model or the new 2 weeks, 2 months old, new DeepSeek-based models. I think it's still -- SiTime has a role to play. So, we see for the next couple of years, growth coming through this business.

We also see it coming through other areas where we've not touched in outside the data center in the Edge, whether it is in IoT, whether it is in personal devices or it is in robotics or it is in any of those, SiTime has a significant ability to perform or automotive significant ability when and if -- when those markets come out and come to fruition. So, we see that coming, too.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

So just to double-click on Edge, very important, but sort of the way a lot of folks think about AI and the opportunity around data center and everything else is training and inference, right? And it feels like there's an inflection, which we're at now around the spend and where it's going to start tipping more into inference versus training, but you play both. You matter to both. Can you talk a little bit about how it matters to training, how timing and your product, in particular, matters to training and how it matters to inference?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Well, I mean, it's actually a pretty simple answer. It's not a very sophisticated answer. And the answer is that wherever there is need for stability of the frequency, the low jitter, it's a technical word. There's low jitter better, better face noise, better power, better size. And then there's a bunch of esoteric timing things parameters that I won't go into.

But SiTime's job is to perform exceptionally in all of them because they get needed, whether it's in automotive, whether it's in ADAS, whether it's in missiles, whether it's in personal equipment or indeed, it's in inference versus training. So, I think it's not that different for us. We don't produce significantly different other than in the -- all of these have to perform at the requirement that there is.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

And so it's -- no matter what, there's an opportunity for you guys to win.

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

That's right.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Beth, as I think about the sort of the mix of ASPs and what they look like -- actually we haven't. But what is the mix of sort of just high level, Rajesh, you talked about charging for the value that you deliver in that side of the equation, right, on the ASP side.

On the cost side of the equation, you're also incredibly efficient. What have you sort of done and implemented? We talked about the supply chain a little bit. But what is -- as a team and as a sort of as a cost structure, what have you implemented to actually also help you on that side of the gross margin and operating expense equation?

---

**Elizabeth Howe** - *SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President*

Okay. Well, so maybe to talk a little bit about the ASPs first, and then we can get into the product cost. So our ASPs, we have a range of ASPs from \$0.30, \$0.40, \$0.50 through \$1, \$2, \$10 products, all the way up to products that are \$50 or \$100 or more depending on the application. So, consumer applications tend to be products that are typically less than \$1. The data center or CED has products that range anywhere from \$1, \$2, \$5, \$10 up to probably \$25 or \$30.

On the other end, in the aerospace and military, given the requirements of those applications, those can be \$50, \$100 and multiple hundreds of dollars depending on the application. So, a very wide range of ASPs depending on the customer end market and the application there. So that's the pricing. And as Rajesh talked about, we are a premium provider. We charge a premium for the innovation for the value that we bring to those customers and that is a core part of who we are as a company.

On the product cost side, and this has been a lot of questions, because we've had so many products coming out, we are working through new products typically have to mature in the cost curve. And so when they launch, they typically -- you've got to work through to improve the yield, to improve the processing, to reduce the test times. And that's where we are right now. And because we've launched so many new products in the last year, 1.5 year or so, we've got an unusually number of products that are going through that phase. And that, combined with the fact that some of these products ramped much quicker than we would like.

And so you have a little bit of a choice. You can either get the product to market, maybe not at the optimal cost structure, but drive the revenue, get the sockets. And that's what we chose to do is really drive that revenue. And this year, we're working on the costs as those mature. Is it the same time that we're delivering for customers because at the end of the day, that's what we want to do.

Is it the same time driving those cost curves down, maturing the yields, maturing the test times so that we can improve the gross margins over time of those new products. And it's a little bit of where we are in our life cycle. Again, you said five years public. We have this ability to really expand our portfolio over the last couple of years, and now we're bringing those to market and there's some growing with that, but we'll get those costs down, and we know how to do it. It just takes a little time, and it's really that balancing act between driving the revenue and driving the cost curves.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Yeah. And speaking of a balancing act for both of those things, it's probably harder and different depending on the type of customer. So one thing I think it's timely that you're here. One thing folks have, I think, generally been focused on is the phone. And are you in the phone? And so the question is, are you in the phone? And what does that look like? And what is that opportunity in this sort of upgrade cycle look like for you folks?

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Right. So thank you for that. It's been a pretty decent sized long journey. And as you see, there are some breakdowns of the new 16e phone, and that showed two chips from SiTime in there. That's very gratifying because we -- I think that's good to see that people can see that. So, we are -- that's what the data information says now.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

That's great. And as you think about sort of the -- it's kind of like asking somebody what your favorite child is, right, which end market. But the heritage of the company is a lot of comms and mobile. What do you see sort of -- what have you been able to learn from that end market experience that you're seeing translating into some of the newer end markets that you're putting?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

So, like any good parent, I'm going to dodge that question and say that it's based on the attributes that we are looking for. So, the attributes are it should be high margin in its category. We understand that consumer products are not 75% gross margin and that 50%, 55% margin is pretty good. But we're looking for high gross margin in this category. We're looking for high growth.

We're looking for sticky, high-value product and we're looking for multiple generations of that product in the customers' end product. So those are the four factors because that's what gives us success and gives us exactly that diversity, Marco, that we're looking for because the world is a strange place, as we know. We know that when we went public, I was asked the question about how much automotive business we were planning to do. And I said exactly zero because automotive did not treasure the values that we brought to the fore. And lo and behold, by 2021, we had a very nice automotive business because the market changed significantly.

When we went public, of course, I said this once before, there was no AI business. Now there is. So, we are on the hunt for end markets, and there's always many of them and there's about 30 of them that then grow at anywhere from 10 -- I'm sorry, excuse me, from 20%, north of that to 40%, 50%, 60% annually. And we're looking to isolate those and go serve them regardless of which market.

Having said that, the Communications, Enterprise, Data Center business tends to be the leading driver of technologies, which then get consumed in high-end industrial, high-end automotive and of course, military, aerospace, defense and even sometimes trickle down into the consumer side. So we've seen that, but we will look at all our markets as long as they meet those four criteria.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Yeah. And you talked about sort of the standards, if you will, right, that you have to meet. You challenge yourself to basically go after the hardest customers to please. And that's translatable into a lot of sort of other end markets in a very good way. But what sort of -- what do they -- in general, across all your customers where you do kind of have to meet these standards, do they care about the innovation and sort of the technology differentiation? Do they want a reliability, quality, which is also another standard of yours? What -- how do they kind of think about those two things back and forth? And how do you meet those?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Yeah. So let's take a look at AI. Clearly, we all can talk about how AI is driving performance. So, all the metrics of performance are critical. But I have to tell you that when an end market explodes the way it does, supply chain, quality, reliability, the ability of a vendor to perform and be credible, I think those become very important.

So for example, in the area of optical modules, we do supply some differentiation in performance, but where we really make the difference is in supply chain. Our customers can depend upon our quality, depend upon our reliability, which is exceptionally better than our competitors, but they can also rely upon our lead times and the integrity of our supply.

So supply chain matters a lot. But in the end, we're a bunch of engineers, even Beth is an escaped engineer from Stanford. So, we're all a bunch of engineers. So we want to go for the performance. We want to go for the edge of the universe.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Yeah. Where are you seeing -- where did you see the most adoption over the past year? And are there particular end markets where a lot of the acceleration in growth is going to come from over the next year. So backward looking, where was most of the sort of innovation forward-looking, where is most of the growth going to be sort of coming from?

---

**Elizabeth Howe** - *SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President*

So, I think as we've been talking about, the CED or AI data center has been explosive growth, right? 156% growth in Q4, 121% growth for the full year. We expect strong growth in the data center market as well as we go through '25, and that's probably the biggest driver of our growth. But again, as we've talked about, there's a diversity of applications.

So, we see other opportunities for growth as well, for example, in aero and defense. Those are long lead time and they have a long gestation period, but we think that there's some really high-growth opportunities within there, within whether it's the military complex or satellites or fuses and different applications there, that can be a very high-growing area for us well and sticky over long periods of time. It takes a long time to get in them. But once you're in, you can be in for many, many years.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Is it similar to automotive sort of from a time standpoint where you go six, seven, eight years once your kind of designed into something? Or is it --?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Probably even more. There are missile programs that we've been involved with for the past seven years already and they probably grow another seven, eight years.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Fantastic. All right. Okay. Here's a little bit of a hypothetical, Rajesh, and this is -- we'll get into sort of more real stuff in a minute. But where is timing going? How are you going to disrupt it over the next decade? And can an atomic clock be in your pocket at some point here?

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Yeah. I mean, SiTime has always maintained that we are a timing company, SiTime, Silicon Time, we're a timing company, and we want to disrupt timing. So, our sites are not just on oscillators, which is where we started, then we moved to clocking. We're already doing that. And we are -- we've slated that we're going to be in resonators shortly.

So that's the sort of classic timing component business. But we also believe in timing software and we're putting out 1588, which is a timing software out in the market that's helping our CED business and our automotive business. We also think the timing IP becomes important. Timing IP is used sometimes. So that's one place for SiTime to go.

Another place for SiTime to go is timing modules. There are grandmaster modules that are important in this. And of course, much talked about atomic clock. The atomic clock world is a fun place to think of and particularly when you think of it as a small module, which is out in the future, definitely. But SiTime has its ambition set on all of that and we think that we can do it.

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Yeah. We couldn't let you leave the conference without addressing something top of mind for everybody across all our ecosystem here. But we woke up yesterday at a 25% announcement on tariffs for Mexico and Canada. And then I think the day before that, we were talking about 10% on China on top of the 10% that already happened. You folks tend to be very strategic in your thinking about the world and the economy.

Sort of how do you -- how have you thought about sort of this -- the change in geopolitical landscape, the sort of behavior around protectionism in the US, what happens with China? Just a couple of minutes just on how you thought about.

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Yeah. So first and foremost, we have built up a pretty decent-sized inventory in our raw materials, our finished wafers that can take us through the ups and downs so that every time a tariff is put in one day and then taken out 10 days later, we don't have to respond to that. We have some stability. So, we have some stability for that. That's not going to last long.

That's only about \$60 million, \$70 million worth of inventory that can only last so long. Beyond that, we have always protected our IP and our ability. So, we don't develop any significant or any R&D in places other than Silicon Valley. That's our base. And then in Netherlands and in other, call it, EU or Japan or India or Taiwan, of course.

And beyond that, our production is in Taiwan, TSMC, but TSMC also has a fab in Oregon. We are in the fab in Oregon. Our fab for MEMS is strategically in Bosch in Germany near Stuttgart. And it is our technology. It's not the Bosch technology, it's our technology that we are using to develop in Germany.

We have our packaging centers in Taiwan, again, but we also have them in Malaysia. We have them in Singapore and we have them in Thailand. So, we are trying to spread our risks around. Having said that, if the risks -- if the tariffs are going all across, then I think we're all going to get impacted no matter what. There isn't much of a place to hide, particularly if the 20% tariffs coming from China over a sustained period of time, we can count the number of products that are made our customers' products that are made that come from China. So --

---

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

But it sounds like philosophically similar to your revenue being balanced, your approach here is balanced and it sets you up to get through whatever comes here, which is good.

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

That's right. And we just took a very senior person at SiTime in our management and have given him only one task and that is to be a strategic supply chain hardener. His job is to harden our supply chains and he brings 40 years of experience and that's all he's going to be doing.

So, it's a different world from when we went public. We didn't have a couple of wars going on. We didn't have COVID. We didn't have tariffs, and the world wasn't divided the way it is, but we don't get a -- we have to thrive regardless of the world we are in.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

And you're controlling what you can.

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer

That's right.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Terrific. All right. Well, we've got a couple of minutes left. I'll open it up to questions.

---

**Unidentified Participant**

(inaudible - microphone inaccessible).

---

**Rajesh Vashist** - SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer

Right. So, the question is whether we are multi-sourced, single sourced, and what's the competitive landscape like, so, and market share. So, if you think about it, we are a \$200 million company revenue last year in a \$10 billion market. So really, from a market share point of view, we are puny. We're 2%.

Even if you were to get to \$1 billion, we'd still be 10% of the market and I presume the market would have grown. So at the end of the day, I don't think about it as a market share game. I think about it as a customer satisfaction game. And I think that many of the products that are out there, which have been around for 50, 70 years, are not able to satisfy customers' needs. So, we go where customers' needs cannot be satisfied.

So in that sense, I think we don't have competition. Even though, of course, we have competition, Quartz Crystal has a lot of competition. But in the sense of a competitive solution when a customer wants a problem solved, SiTime is uniquely qualified in ability to do that.

As far as single sourced, I think that's safe to say we are anywhere between 70% to 80% single source in the way that a customer depends upon it. Sometimes it's pure single source where they cannot depend on someone else. And there are some other options where they can use other people if they walk back on their performance needs or their supply chain needs.

---

**Marco Lagos** - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Yeah. Any other questions?

---

**Unidentified Participant**

(inaudible - microphone inaccessible).

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

So in the area of MEMS, we had competition, but the last competition, about nine other competitors from 2007 to about 11 and then they dropped out. They couldn't make it. So right now, in terms of volume, it's SiTime and there's a very small business that comes out of Microchip from some acquisitions that they had done. But other than that, there are no other suppliers of any note in the market that are using MEMS to be very specific.

**Unidentified Participant**

(inaudible - microphone inaccessible).

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Yeah. So the question is why did we go to TSMC as opposed to somebody else in the US in Oregon. That Oregon fab is, I don't know, 25 years old, and we've always used it because I think it's always nice to have a spread-out supply chain. And it's pretty much an exact replica of -- it's a 180-nanometer fab. So, it's pretty much the same as what we use at TSMC in Taiwan.

**Marco Lagos** - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Okay. All right. Well, we're at time. It feels like it flew, but thank you so much both again for coming, and I appreciate the time. Thank you very much.

**Rajesh Vashist** - *SiTime Corp - Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer*

Thank you.

**Elizabeth Howe** - *SiTime Corp - Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President*

Thank you.

**DISCLAIMER**

Refinitiv reserves the right to make changes to documents, content, or other information on this web site without obligation to notify any person of such changes.

In the conference calls upon which Event Transcripts are based, companies may make projections or other forward-looking statements regarding a variety of items. Such forward-looking statements are based upon current expectations and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those stated in any forward-looking statement based on a number of important factors and risks, which are more specifically identified in the companies' most recent SEC filings. Although the companies may indicate and believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove inaccurate or incorrect and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the results contemplated in the forward-looking statements will be realized.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN EVENT TRANSCRIPTS IS A TEXTUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL AND WHILE EFFORTS ARE MADE TO PROVIDE AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION, THERE MAY BE MATERIAL ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INACCURACIES IN THE REPORTING OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE CONFERENCE CALLS. IN NO WAY DOES REFINITIV OR THE APPLICABLE COMPANY ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INVESTMENT OR OTHER DECISIONS MADE BASED UPON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS WEB SITE OR IN ANY EVENT TRANSCRIPT. USERS ARE ADVISED TO REVIEW THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL ITSELF AND THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S SEC FILINGS BEFORE MAKING ANY INVESTMENT OR OTHER DECISIONS.

©2025, Refinitiv. All Rights Reserved.